## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NATHANIEL TURNER, Petitioner, vs.  SUPERINTENDENT MICHAEL C. BARONE, et al., Respondents.	C.A. No. 08-302 Erie  Magistrate Judge Baxter  Magistrate Judge Baxter
MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S R	EPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
I. RECOMMENDATION	
It is respectfully recommended that	the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus be
transferred to the Eastern District of Pennsy	dvania.
W DEDODE	
II. REPORT	
This is a petition for writ of habeas	corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, filed by a state
prisoner presently incarcerated at the State 0	Correctional Institution at Forest in the Western
District of Pennsylvania.	
In his petition, Petitioner Nathaniel	Turner claims that he was convicted of Robbery,
Aggravated Assault, and Conspiracy in Phil	ladelphia County, Pennsylvania, on or about
September 18, 2003, and was sentenced to s	serve 25 to 50 years of imprisonment. As grounds

the application may be filed in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the state court was held which convicted and sentenced him and each of such district courts shall have concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the application. The district court for the district wherein such an application is filed in the

for habeas relief, Petitioner challenges the legality of his conviction, claiming that his trial and

application for a writ of habeas corpus is made by a person in custody under the judgment and

appellate counsel were both ineffective. Title 28 U.S.C. §2241(d) provides that where an

sentence of a state court of a state which contains two or more federal judicial districts,

exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court for hearing and determination.

28 U.S.C. §2241(d). See also, Bell v. Watkins, 692 F.2d 999 (5th Cir. 1982) (the district court

transferred the action on the basis of the magistrate's recommendation which indicated that the

district where the defendant was convicted was the more convenient forum because of the

accessibility of evidence).

In the case at bar, Petitioner was tried and convicted of the challenged offenses in

Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania. Petitioner's records are located there. He is presently

incarcerated at the State Correctional Institution at Forest, within the Western District of

Pennsylvania. Philadelphia County is located in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This

Court finds that the interests of justice would be better served by transferring this petition to the

Eastern District of Pennsylvania wherein all activity in this case occurred.

III. **CONCLUSION** 

It is respectfully recommended that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be transferred

to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

§2241(d).

In accordance with the Magistrate Judges Act, 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1) (B) and (C), and

Rule 72.1.4(B) of the Local Rules for Magistrate Judges, the parties are allowed ten days from

the date of service to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation. Any party

opposing the objections shall have seven days from the date of service of objections to respond

thereto. Failure to file timely objections may constitute a waiver of some appellate rights. See

e.g., Nara v. Frank, 488 F.3d 187 (3d Cir. 2007).

SUSAN PARADISE BAXTER

Chief U.S. Magistrate Judge

Dated: December 4, 2008

2